



*Erasmus+ KA2 Knowledge Alliances project
“Greening Energy Market and Finance – GrEnFln”*

AGREEMENT NUMBER: 612408
PROJECT NUMBER: 612408 – EPP-1-2019-1-EPPKA2-KA

**WP10 – D10.1. Final report concerning the internal evaluation of the results:
Quarterly Report based on submitted Partners Actions Reports aimed to evaluation**

M1 – M8

The information and views set out in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official opinion of the European Union. Neither the European Union institutions and bodies nor any person acting on their behalf may be held responsible for the use which may be made of the information contained therein.



With the support of the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union



Work Package (WP)	WP10 - PROJECT EVALUATION
WP Leader	WU
Deliverable Title and Number	D10.1. Final report concerning the internal evaluation of the results: Quarterly Report based on submitted Partners Actions Reports aimed to evaluation M1 – M8
Release date	02/07/2020
Version	Version 1
Dissemination Level	Public
Authors	WU – Giacomo Maria Bressan
Revised and Approved by	Prof. Silvia Romagnoli

INDEX

Quarterly Report based on submitted Partners Actions Reports aimed to evaluation	3
M1 – M8	3
Summary of deliverables	3
Evaluation by Deliverable and KPI	4
Conclusions	7





Quarterly Report based on submitted Partners Actions Reports aimed to evaluation M1 – M8

In this report, we provide an evaluation for all deliverables received until today (M8). Deliverables are first listed in a recap table and then discussed individually, assessing deliverable-level and milestone-level KPIs as set up within WP10 according to the Annexes to the Project Management Framework. For each deliverable, qualitative KPIs are assessed on a 0-10 scale, while quantitative KPIs are assessed against relevant metrics.

A summary of conclusions completes the report.

Summary of deliverables

Nr of WP	Lead organization (Pn)	Deliverable number	End date	Title of deliverable	Dissemination level
1	P(1)	D1.1	M6	GrEnFin Project Management framework	Public
1	P(1)	D1.2	M3	GrEnFin Partnership agreement	Public
1	P(1)	D1.6	M1, M6, M8	Transnational Project meetings	Public
1	P(1)	D1.8	M8, M21, M33	Summer school and intensive programme	Public
2	P(1)	D2.1	M6	Building of the data base for the stakeholders consultation - list of contacts /number of submitted invitation per target groups	Public
2	P(1)	D2.2	M4	Definition of the consultation's document structure and details of submission-questionnaires and descriptions of the submission process	Public
2	P(1)	D2.3	M6	Report on the consultation-Survey's Report	Public
3	P(1)	D3.0	M6	Report about the current educational offer in near field (EU and Extra-EU vision)	Public
3	P(1)	D3.1	M6 - M8	Preliminary draft of the basic structure of the course and learning outcomes	Public
8	P(10)	D8.1	M11 - M23 – M29	Descriptive document on the Project website	Public
9	P(1)	D9.0	M6	Quality and evaluation plan	Public
9	P(1)	D9.1	M6 – M12 – M18 – M24 – M30 – M36	Reports concerning the Internal Quality Assurance Process	Public
10	P(4)	D10.0	M6	Quality and evaluation plan	Public



10	P(4)	D10.1	M1 - M6 – M8 – M11 – M14 – M17 – M20 – etc	Final reports concerning the internal evaluation of the results	Public
10	P(4)	D10.2	M6 – M8 – M11 – M14 – M19– M20 – M21 - M29 – M33 – M34 – M36	Report on the Consultation survey on the virtual platform	Public

Evaluation by Deliverable and KPI

D1.1 – Project management framework

Covering:

- Project Governance Structure
- Internal Communication Plan
- Milestones Plan and project Road Map
- Risk Management Plan
- Budget Management, Monitoring and Reporting procedures

Relevant KPIs

- D1.1 .1 Delivery of Project Management Document (10-0): **10**, the document has been delivered by the lead organization and uploaded to the GrEnFin website;

D1.2 Data Management Plan and specification of intellectual property rights

Relevant KPIs:

- PI.1.2.1 Completion of GrEnFin Partnership agreement signature (10-0): **7**, following the partnership agreement and considering the difficulties emerged for changes in partners' role and involvement;
- PI.1.2.2 Rate of challenges emerged/solved: **satisfactory**; as solutions to emerged challenges have been elaborated and are currently in the implementation phase;

D1.6 Transnational Project meetings

Relevant KPIs: availability of reports for:

- Kick-off meeting: **10**, minutes available and published online;
- First project meeting: **10**, minutes available and published online
- Second project meeting: **10**, minutes available and published online
- Steering committee: **9**, minutes available and in order but not published on website.
- PI 1.3 Number of evaluation's questionnaires submitted: **3**, as 18 questionnaires have been submitted for the kick-off meeting, 11 for the second, 7 for the third; no questionnaires are available for the Steering committee meeting. Improvement in questionnaires' response rates are needed and partners should be strongly encouraged to fill the surveys.

D1.8 Summer school and intensive programme

Relevant KPI:

- Completion of the summer school report: **8**, draft version ready;



- Satisfaction of students participating in the summer school (June 2020): **7**, as satisfaction has been generally high, but concerns have been raised regarding organizational aspects such as programme intensity and lack of coordination between lectures.

D2.1 Building of the data base for the stakeholders' consultation

Relevant KPIs

- PI.2.1.1 Stakeholders' database completed (10-0): **8**, as following Privacy issues UNIBO could not gather all contacts from partners; the problem was solved via partner involvement and questionnaires have been correctly submitted;
- PI.2.1.2 Rate of challenges emerged/solved: **satisfactory**, as signalled on PI.2.1.1 a major challenge has risen but it has been promptly addressed with minimal disruption to the project;
- PI2.1 Number of surveys collected and analysed: **198**, satisfactory;
- PI2.2 Number of countries covered in the survey: **16**, satisfactory;
- PI2.3 Number of L/SMEs invited to take part in the survey: **114** respondents are from categories not labelled as "policymakers", **satisfactory**.

D2.2 Definition of the consultation's document structure and details of submission-questionnaires and descriptions of the submission process

Relevant KPIs:

- PI2.2.1 Consultation document structured (10-0): **10**, document prepared and uploaded to the website;
- PI2.2.2 Consultation document submitted (10-0): **10**, document prepared and uploaded to the website;
- PI2.2.3 Rate of challenges emerged/solved: **satisfactory**, as questions raised by partners regarding the documents have been promptly addressed with no disruption to the project – actually improving the outcome.

D2.3 Report on the consultation-Survey's Report

Relevant KPIs:

- PI2.3.1 Consultation report delivered (10-0): **10**, document prepared and uploaded to the website;
- PI2.3.2 Rate of challenges emerged/solved: **satisfactory**, as no challenge emerged.

D3.0 Report about the current educational offer in near field

Relevant KPIs:

- PI3.0 Current educational offer report delivered: **9**, finalized but not uploaded to the website;
- PI3.1 Number of university curricula analysed (100 satisfactory - 10 unsatisfactory): **80** (including MBAs), satisfactory;

D3.1 Preliminary draft of the basic structure of the course and learning outcomes

- D3.1.1 consortium experience's contribution to draft learning outcomes (10-0): **8**, as discussion has been conducted during the kick-off meeting, but some partners signalled allocating more time for the curriculum development would have been beneficial;
- D3.1.2 draft learning outcomes' completion (10-0): **9**, finalized but not uploaded to the website;
- D.3.1.3 Rate of challenges emerged/solved: **satisfactory**, as challenges emerged during the discussion have been promptly addressed with minimal disruption to the project.

D8.1 Descriptive document on the Project website



- PI81.1 Project website developed (10-0): **10**, project website is online and report on website structure has been developed.

D9.0 Quality and evaluation plan

Relevant KPIs:

- D9.0.1 Delivery of Quality and evaluation plan (10-0): **9**, the document has been delivered by the lead organizations, but not uploaded to the project website.

D9.1 Reports concerning the Internal Quality Assurance Process

Relevant KPIs:

- PI9.1.1 External opinions on project development collected and elaborated (10 - 0): to be defined after Quality Board;
- PI9.1.2 Report on external monitoring on the project's development completed (10-0): **9**, finalized but not uploaded to the website;

D10.0 Quality and evaluation plan

See D9.0

D10.1 Final reports concerning the internal evaluation of the results: Quarterly Report based on submitted Partners Actions Reports aimed to evaluation

Relevant KPIs:

- PI10.1.1. Quarterly report over internal evaluation prepared and published (10-0): **8**, draft prepared, to be finalized and uploaded to the website.

D10.1 Final reports concerning the internal evaluation of the results: Evaluation Report of Project Meetings and Summer School

Relevant KPIs:

- PI10.2.1 Questionnaires prepared for the virtual platform (10-0): **10**;
- PI10.2.2 Questionnaires' results evaluated (10-0): **10**, all relevant questionnaires have been evaluated. The partners' feedback on the summer school has not been evaluated for lack of responses;
- PI10.2 Rate of response to questionnaires (above 80%: satisfactory/below 50%: unsatisfactory): **off-track** so far, signalling the necessity for a more proactive involvement by partners in the post-meeting evaluation process;
- PI 10.3 Satisfaction with the evaluation of the results reported by the partners: **satisfactory**, as responses from partners point clearly in a positive direction under all aspects.



Conclusions

Overall, this report found no major concerns on quality. Deliverables have been so far generally on track when it comes to timeliness and solutions to challenges. We followed the logic of assigning a **10** to documents finalized and uploaded to the website; **9** for documents finalized but not uploaded; **8** for draft documents and lower results for documents where issues have been encountered, such as slight delays in preparation and publication. It is important to notice that no deliverable is graded below **6**.

Nevertheless, we must highlight that some deliverables have not yet been uploaded to the website, or are currently in draft status, and should be promptly made available to partners and stakeholders. Also, difficulties encountered with timeliness should be addressed and kept in mind for future developments, and a better document-tracking process could help in this direction.

Where available, quantitative indicators point in different directions. They are strongly positive on some deliverables, such as *D2.1* or *D3.0*, but off-track on others, such as *D10.2*. This latter indicator points in the direction of the need for a more proactive involvement from partners and students in post-meeting and post-summer school evaluation. Also, questionnaires' results as elaborated within *D10.1* point in the direction of the need for a better structured summer school (see also *D1.6*).

To summarize, we believe the project is currently on track with good quality results and timely deliverables, although there is room for improvement in specific areas, such as uploads to the website, document tracking, partners' response rate to evaluation questionnaires and the organizational aspects of the summer school.



Greening Energy Market and Finance



ALMA MATER STUDIORUM
UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA



University
of Economics
in Katowice



The information and views set out in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official opinion of the European Union. Neither the European Union institutions and bodies nor any person acting on their behalf may be held responsible for the use which may be made of the information contained therein.

